

Brothers, Sheila C

From: Jones, Davy
Sent: Friday, August 29, 2014 1:55 PM
To: Hippisley, Andrew R
Cc: Brothers, Sheila C; Witt, Don
Subject: University Registrar System

Andrew,

There has recently been another issue of college compliance with Senate rules on final grades, in a college that is not overseen by the University Registrar. The Senate Rules and Elections Committee decided to draw the Senate Council's attention that an underlying basic issue has not yet been administratively resolved, or, at least, we are unaware of at least an administrative response to the Senate Council.

The SREC notes that the University still has four separate Registrars, only one of whom (University Registrar) reports directly to the Provost. The other three (Medicine, Dentistry, Law Registrars) instead report directly to a respective dean. There is no single overseeing Registrar who has a charge of supervisory responsibility for ensuring that newly appointed area registrars are effectively oriented or are acting in compliance with Senate Rules. The SREC previously drew this situation to the attention of the Senate Council ([09/11/2011](#)) and the Senate Council in turn voted ([09/26/2011](#)) to draw the situation to the attention of Provost Subbaswamy. The need for a single responsible supervising Registrar was also emphasized to the Senate Council by its ad hoc calendar committee ([04/23/2012](#)).

Can you please arrange an occasion for the Senate Council to discuss this issue?

Thanks.

Davy